

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,889	09/10/2003	Hirokazu Suzu	04995/118001	3207
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 10/31/200 1. J. P	7	EXAM	IINER
1221 MCKINNEY STREET			SCHNURR, JOHN R	
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, T	X 77010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2623	
		·	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	10/31/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/658,889	SUZU, HIROKAZU
Examiner	Art Unit

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as se ma

set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The first training date of the marrogeness, even miner, man
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wire filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension them a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	eof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form f appeal; and/or	or appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspor NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ding number of finally rejected claims.
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>	ttached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if non-allowable claim(s).	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	atus of the claims after entry is below or attached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	OT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/	08) Paper No(s)
13. Other:	. 0

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arguments (page 6 1st paragraph through page 7 2nd paragraph) that Hendricks (US Pat. 7,134,131) does not teach the display of a synthesized image when a watching starting time is reached while the user is watching a channel designated by the watching reserving information, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The order and authorization system 179 (Fig. 10) may provide authorization codes for two or more programs that air at the same time and synthesize a display consisting of both programs, i.e. picture-in-picture (column 22 lines 36-40).